

Programme Validation Report

Bachelor of Science (Honours) in Computer Science

(Dual Degree with OTH Regensburg)

Version of Report	Author	Date	
1	Dr. Gráinne Hurley	29/09/2023	
		Click or tap to enter a date.	
		Click or tap to enter a date.	
		Click or tap to enter a date.	

Approval	Date	
Programme Proposal approved by Faculty Board	04/05/2023	
Programme Proposal approved by University Programmes Board	18/05/2023	
Programme approved by Faculty Board	29/09/2023	
Programme approved by University Programmes Board	Click or tap to enter a date.	

Section A - Programme Details

Title	Dual Degree in BSc (Honours) Computer Science (TU		
The state of the s	Dublin) & BSc Informatik (OTH Regensburg).		
NFQ Level	8		
ECTS Credits	240		
Mode of delivery	Part-time ☐ Full-time ✓		
Duration	Part-time: Full-time: 4 years		
Mode of provision	Face-to-Face ☐ Blended ✓ Online ☐		
Classification of award	First Class Honours; Second Class Honours, First		
	Division; Second Class Honours, Second Division; Pass		
Discipline Programmes Board	Computer Science		
Faculty Board	Faculty of Computing		
Schools involved in delivery	School of Computer Science		
Delivery location	City Campus, TU Dublin and OTH Regensburg,		
	Germany		
Collaborative Partner (where applicable)	Ostbayerische Technische Hochschule (OTH)		
	Regensburg		
Date of Commencement	January 2024		

Section B - Awards

Award Title	Bachelor of Science (Honours) in Computer Science
NFQ Level	8
Award Class	Major
ECTS Credits	240
Classification of award	First Class Honours; Second Class Honours, First Division;
	Second Class Honours, Second Division; Pass
Award (1) Title	
Exit/Embedded	Exit Embedded
NFQ Level	8
Award Class	Major
ECTS Credits	
Classification of award	
Exit Award (2)	
Exit/Embedded	Exit Embedded
NFQ Level	Select Level
Award Class	Choose an item.
ECTS Credits	
Classification of award	

Section C - Programme Derogations (if required)

Derogations from Assessment Regulations/Marks and Standards already approved by University Programmes Board		
Date of University Programmes Board Approval	Click or tap to enter a date.	

Section D Validation Process

Please tick the process that was followed:

Validation Panel ✓	AQEC Meeting	AQEC Sub-Group □
Date: 12 June 2023 (please	Date:	Date:
refer to accompanying report)		
Date: 28 September 2023	Date:	Date:

Panel Members

Name	Role	Affiliation	
Dr Ciarán O'Leary	Chair	Head of Learning	
		Development, Faculty of	
		Computing, Digital & Data	
Mr Eric Strong	External panel member	DevOps Engineer, FreedomPay	

Mr Michael Hegarty	Internal panel member	Lecturer, School of Informatics and Cybersecurity
Ms Karen Nolan,	Internal panel member	Lecturer, School of Enterprise Computing and Digital Transformation
Dr Gráinne Hurley, Quality Assurance Office	Internal panel member	Quality Framework Team, Quality Assurance Advisor

Section E - Programme Evaluation

Governance & Management		
Is the programme designed in accordance with the University's	Yes ✓	No □
Strategic Plan, Educational Model and Quality Framework?		
Comment:		
This Dual Degree embraces the University's Strategic Plan's intention	to engage in	diverse and
multicultural contexts and to encourage and support students to	avail of stud	y and work
opportunities abroad, thus preparing students for multiple career paths	by facilitating	exposure to
global perspectives, intercultural experiences and enhanced networking	opportunities	, resulting in
the attainment of multifaceted skill sets that will greatly increase the	eir marketabil	ity to future
employers. It also fulfils the requirement to build and nurture the univ	versity's partr	erships with
other universities. In addition, the Dual Degree satisfies the Educatio	nal Model's o	objectives of
offering 'a new and different educational experience to meet the needs of	of the learners	for the next
generation' and providing an inclusive, global and multicultural experi	ence. It also	supports the
principles underpinning the university's Academic Quality Framework, including taking a student-		
centred approach and being innovative and responsive whilst upholding	high academi	c standards.
Will the proposed strategies for programme management and quality	Yes ✓	No □
assurance ensure that the programme is well managed and		I
continuously enhanced and is in accordance with the University's		I
Quality Framework?		
Comment:		

Awards Standards		
Are the programme aims and learning outcomes clearly written using	Yes ✓	No □
appropriate terminology? (See TU Dublin Guidelines)		
Comment:		
Are the programme aims and learning outcomes aligned to the	Yes ✓	No □
proposed level of the award on the NFQ in accordance with applicable		
Award Standards?		
Comment:		
Will the curricula, teaching, learning and assessment methods enable	Yes ✓	No □
students to reach the appropriate standard to qualify for the award(s)?		
Comment:		_

		1
Was the programme development appropriately informed by internal and external stakeholder input (including industry/practice,	Yes ✓	No □
professional/regulatory bodies, and community organisations)?		
Comment: The programme has been designed in partnership with OTH	Regensburg	
Has the programme been benchmarked against similar programmes nationally and internationally?	Yes ✓	No □
Comment:	1	
Did the programme development take account of relevant external	Yes ✓	No □
discipline benchmarks and Professional Statutory and Regulatory Body		_
requirements?		
Comment:		
Programme Design		T
Is the programme design informed by current development in the	Yes ✓	No □
discipline and associated subject areas, having taken into consideration		
current trends, stakeholder feedback and market analysis?		
Comment:	os for all TUD	مناطب
The TU Dublin Quality Assurance & Enhancement policies and procedur programmes include both a student feedback mechanism for individual		
requirement for student representation at all boards and committees g		
Supports are also made available to both staff and students regarding w		_
Voice can be used at all stages of programme design.	vays iii willeli t	ne <u>stadent</u>
Will there be opportunities for students to input into curriculum design	Yes ✓	No □
decisions in the future?		110 _
Comment:	1	1
Is there a mechanism to ensure the input of external stakeholders in the	Yes ✓	No □
ongoing development of the programme?	163	
Comment:	<u> </u>	l
Is the programme curriculum well-structured with a logical progression	Yes ✓	No □
of learning and development across the modules and stages?		
Comment:		
	T .	T
Are there appropriate opportunities for students to undertake work-	Yes ✓	No □
based learning, through work placements or work-based projects or		
assignments?		
Comment:		
If applicable, have the relevant Blended Learning Checklists (i.e.	Yes ✓	No □
Learning Experience Context & Programme Context) been fully		
completed and submitted to the Panel?		
Comment:		
Is the required programme and module information provided in the	Yes ✓	No □
correct format?		.,,,
Comment:	•	

Is there an effective student-centred teaching and learning strategy that aligns with the University's strategies and Education Model?	Yes ✓	No □
Comment:		
Does the assessment strategy provide an appropriate mix of assessment types that will enable students to demonstrate that they have met the module and programme learning outcomes?	Yes ✓	No □
Comment:		
Do the learning outcomes and assessment strategy ensure that academic integrity can be maintained and attempted breaches of academic integrity are minimised/easily detected?	Yes ✓	No □
Comment:		
Is there a comprehensive mapping of assessment methods and module learning outcomes and between module learning outcomes and programme learning outcomes?	Yes ✓	No 🗆
Comment:		
Are there opportunities in all modules to provide students with timely and constructive feedback on their learning and development?	Yes ✓	No □
Comment:		
Do the teaching and assessment methods consider the diversity of the student cohort?	Yes ✓	No □
Comment:		
Student Supports & Learning Environment	T	
Are there sufficient and appropriate resources (e.g. human, financial and physical) to support the proposed programme aims and objectives, to deliver the programme as specified?	Yes ✓	No □
Comment:		
Are there sufficient staff that are appropriately qualified and capable to support the programme delivery, from both context and pedagogy perspectives?	Yes ✓	No 🗆
Comment:		
Are there appropriate arrangements in place to support the student experience and to monitor student performance?	Yes ✓	No □
Comment:		

Learning, Teaching & Assessment

Are the access, transfer and progression arrangements clearly defined and appropriate, and aligned to TU Dublin policy/strategy in this regard?	Yes ✓	No □
Comment:		
Do the student supports and learning environment cater for equality, diversity and inclusivity of students?	Yes ✓	No □
Comment:		
Is the relevant programme information clearly communicated to the students to ensure they are informed, guided and cared for?	Yes ✓	No □
Comment:		
Has the Checklist for First Year Student Success (where applicable) been fully completed and submitted to the Panel?	Yes ✓	No □
Comment:		
Collaborative Provision (if applicable)		
Are the roles and responsibilities of each partner clearly defined?	Yes ✓	No □
Comment:		
In the case of Joint or Multiple Awards, has due diligence on capacity of partner institution meeting the QA-QE requirements for the programme been undertaken?	Yes ✓	No □
Comment:		

Section F - Overall Recommendation

1.	Recommend approval of programme as submitted, without amendment	\boxtimes
2.	Recommend approval of programme, subject to minor amendments/editorial	
	changes to be completed as soon as possible and with recommendations for	
	consideration.	
	Note: recommendations are attached where it is considered that the programme would benefit from particular changes, or from a review of certain aspects of the programme over a period of time, with changes made if required. While recommendations are advisory in nature, there is an expectation that all recommendations are responded to appropriately and acted upon as appropriate.	
3.	Recommend approval of programme subject to the fulfilment of conditions.	
	Recommendations for consideration may also be attached.	
	Note: conditions are attached where it is agreed that changes must be made to the programme / programme documentation prior to the commencement of the programme. Conditions must be set where issues are identified that relate	

directly to academic standards or to University regulations or procedures. It should be clear what is required in order to meet the conditions.		
	A new programme cannot go forward to Faculty Board for consideration/approval unless a response to the Validation Report is submitted with revised programme documentation and the Academic Quality Enhancement Committee is satisfied that all conditions are met.	
4.	Do not recommend approval of programme.	

Area	s for commendation
1.	The development of Dual Degrees can be very challenging, with complex matters requiring
	consideration that would not be a feature of the development and validation of a
	conventional programme. The School of Computer Science has developed a suite of Dual
	Degrees, continuing to lead TU Dublin in this area of programme provision. The School and
	the programme development team are to be commended for their leadership in this area
	and their innovative approaches to international collaboration.
2.	Based on the documentation provided and the evidence supplied by the TU Dublin staff
	member and student who have spent time in the partner university, OTH Regensburg
	provides excellent support and experience to its exchange students and visitors, for which
	it is to be commended. Commenting upon his experience in OTH Regensburg, the TU
	Dublin student, with whom the panel corresponded, commented that he "would 100%
	recommend any Erasmus student to go to OTH Regensburg. It has been an incredible
	experience for me thus far and I think it would be shame for any other student to miss out
	on it!".
3.	The facilities available in OTH Regensburg, the social life for students in the city of
	Regensburg, the quality of the modules on offer to exchange students in OTH Regensburg,
	and the level of knowledge and ability of the students in OTH Regensburg were all
	commented upon very favourably by TU Dublin staff member and student who spent time
	in OTH Regensburg. Both individuals specifically identified Dr Markus Westner, the
	Associate Dean of Student Affairs as being very supportive.
4.	The mapping between modules in TU Dublin and OTH Regensburg demonstrates a good
	alignment between the TU Dublin programme and the OTH Regensburg. This challenging
	task has been carefully undertaken by both partners to ensure that students are well
	prepared for the fourth year in TU Dublin. The panel made some suggestions during the
	panel meeting of topics that could be considered by both partners as part of the future
	development of their programmes, based on current practice in industry.
5.	The panel recognises that this degree provides an excellent foundation for graduates'
	transition into industry, with the knowledge and skills gained through their international
	experience in great demand.

Conditions of Approval

1. The means through which students remain engaged with OTH Regensburg, and an overall picture (schedule, description etc.) of the student experience in semester 1 of third year needs to be described in the programme validation documentation and/or student handbook. This

could address, for example, how and when students attend classes, how and when students interact with other students on their programme, how and when students interact with staff from OTH Regensburg, where students live during their internship, and overall how students remain a part of university life during their internship.

Response: The panel were provided with the *Study Exchange Student Handbook & Pre-Departure Pack* which contains the academic calendar, registration information and outlines Student Support Services that are available including information on accommodation; language support provided; details on the 'Buddy Programme', which provides every incoming student with support from an *OTH-Buddy* during the semester; information on timetables, study groups (including study counselling and study support) and library services, in addition to social interaction supports and sports facilities. The panel were also furnished with additional files on (i) the Welcome Week at OTH, (ii) OTH Internship semester and (iii) OTH Student Support documents in addition to a document fully outlining the FYP process at TU Dublin.

Regensburg and TU Dublin, with aspects of the module commencing early in second year and the module ending after the assessment of the students upon completion of the eighteen-week internship period. In this regard, a detailed description of the internship, recommended to be in the form of an internship handbook for students – supported by OTH Regensburg's module descriptor for internship – needs to be provided. Currently, it is understood that TU Dublin has a Work Placement Handbook for all students undertaking placement as part of TU Dublin's own programmes. It is recommended that a comparable document is prepared by TU Dublin and OTH Regensburg to describe all the activities, supports and processes relating to the internship as part of the Dual Degree. This document should be submitted to the panel.

Response: The panel was provided with the *OTH Internship Semester* document which included programme/module descriptors, guidelines for the internship semester (structure, admission, duration etc.); a checklist for the examination of the internship report; notes on the internship seminar (report and presentation) and instructions for the preparation of the internship report.

3. The School of Computer Science and OTH Regensburg need to determine and fully describe the model that they are proposing for the thesis and Final Year Project. Given the confusion that may arise should students be expected to complete a separate thesis and Final Year Project, or complete a thesis/Final Year Project that is jointly supervised and assessed, or undertake a Final Year Project that will be recognised by both partners, it is recommended that a joint thesis/Final Year Project handbook or guidance document is prepared for student (and for the panel) to explain the operation of the proposed model.

Response: The panel was provided with the Study Exchange Student Handbook & Pre-Departure Pack, which outlines the final year project and bachelor thesis procedure as follows: 'In the fourth year of the double degree program, i.e., semester 7 and 8, students from both TU Dublin and OTH Regensburg must undertake a Final Year Project (FYP) at TU Dublin. This project is an opportunity for students to apply and integrate the knowledge and skills acquired throughout their undergraduate programme. To fulfil the academic requirements of both institutions, students must submit (i) a FYP dissertation to TU Dublin, and (ii) a Bachelor Thesis to OTH Regensburg. These will be two separate and unique bodies of work with separate submissions,

which include specific differences and deliverables. Each student will be assigned a local academic supervisor at TU Dublin for the FYP and an academic supervisor at OTH for the Bachelor Thesis. It will be the student's responsibility to arrange their weekly meetings with their supervisors at an agreed time during the course of their research.' An indicative timeline and breakdown is also provided.

4. Further information is required as part of the documentation – ideally in the student handbook – on the supports that will be provided to students to assist them in achieving the level of language competence required for the achievement of the OTH Regensburg degree.

Response: Comprehensive information was provided in the *Study Exchange Student Handbook & Pre-Departure Pack* detailing language supports provided to exchange students while studying at OTH Regensburg, which includes access to a wide range of German language courses designed to cater to various competency levels, thus ensuring that students can continue to enhance their language skills and are adequately prepared to meet the linguistic demands of their academic program at OTH Regensburg. The German language curriculum is structured to provide comprehensive learning experiences, and the experienced faculty members are committed to assisting students in achieving linguistic proficiency. The university's Writing Center also offers courses, workshops and one-on-one consultations.

Rec	Recommendations		
1.			
	Response:		
2.			
	Response:		
3.			
	Response:		

Other matters to be brought to the attention of Faculty Board and/or University Programmes Board

This is a Dual Degree programme whereby students from TU Dublin will spend third year in OTH Regensburg and later complete a final thesis to become eligible for the OTH Regensburg 3.5 year degree. Students from OTH Regensburg will spend fourth year in TU Dublin, completing that year in its entirety to become eligible for the TU Dublin degree.

Section G - Approvals

Validation Report	
This report has been agreed by the Validation Panel and is signed on their behalf by the	
chairperson.	
Chairperson: Dr Ciarán O'Leary	
Signed:	Date: 29/09/2023

School Response		
The response to the conditions and recommendations has been agreed by the School and is		
signed by the Head of School.		
Head of School: Dr Paul Doyle		
Signed:	Date: 29/09/2023	

Faculty Board		
The report and response have been approved by Faculty Board		
Vice-Dean for Education: Prof Pramod Pathak (Dean)		
Signed:	Date: 02/10/2023	

University Programmes Board (Programmes of 30 ECTS or great)	
The report and response have been approved by the University Programmes Board	
Registrar:	
Signed:	Date: Click or tap to enter a date.